## PLANNING AND LICENSING COMMITTEE 13<sup>th</sup> October 2021 ADDITIONAL PAGES UPDATE

## AVAILABLE FOR PUBLIC INSPECTION UNDER THE PROVISIONS OF THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT (ACCESS TO INFORMATION) ACT 1985

ADDITIONAL REPRESENTATIONS ON SCHEDULE ITEMS : Page 8 - 9			
Item:	Ref No:	Content:	
02	21/00616/FUL	ADDITIONAL INFORMATION RECEIVED -	
	(2,3,4,6,7,8 & 11 Tally Ho Lane Guiting Power)	The agent has supplied the following additional information.  i). External Wall Insulation Systems Care & Maintenance Advice document; and  ii). External wall insulation & internal dampness explanation email  Councillor Neill has supplied the following additional information  i). '7 ways to reduce unintended consequences from solid wall insulation' document  These documents are available to view within the online case file - https://www.cotswold.gov.uk/planning-and-building/planning-permission/view-planning-applications/  FURTHER REPRESENTATIONS RECEIVED —  One further third party objection comment received:  i). Doubts the benefits of the proposal;  ii). Doubts the submitted EPC ratings; and iii). Guiting Power Amenity Trust do not need cladding to meet EPC targets.	
		Cont/	

Item:	Ref No:	Content:
03	21/00617/FUL	ADDITIONAL INFORMATION RECEIVED -
	(3 & 5 Pear Tree Close Lower Swell)	The agent has supplied the following additional information.
		<ul><li>iii). External Wall Insulation Systems Care &amp; Maintenance Advice document; and</li><li>iv). External wall insulation &amp; internal dampness explanation email</li></ul>
		Councillor Neill has supplied the following additional information
		ii). '7 ways to reduce unintended consequences from solid wall insulation' document
		These documents are available to view within the online case file - <a href="https://www.cotswold.gov.uk/planning-and-building/planning-permission/view-planning-applications/">https://www.cotswold.gov.uk/planning-and-building/planning-permission/view-planning-applications/</a>
		FURTHER REPRESENTATIONS RECEIVED -
		Four further third party objection comments received:
		<ul> <li>iv). Unsuitable materials;</li> <li>v). Impact on the Conservation Area;</li> <li>vi). Poor design;</li> <li>vii). Loss of traditional character;</li> <li>viii). Insulation should be placed inside;</li> <li>ix). Damp issues;</li> <li>x). Devalue properties;</li> <li>xi). Admires drive to minimise energy loss, but there are better ways;</li> <li>xii). Bromford did not consult residents/neighbours;</li> <li>xiii). Ongoing maintenance issues;</li> <li>xiv). Impact to existing guttering- would need to be moved and flashing added;</li> <li>xv). Cladding not compatible as the properties do not contain typical modern soffits and fascias; and</li> <li>xvi). Issues with replacing windows after the EWI is installed.</li> </ul>